

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,613	02/05/2004	Michael Kovacs	BEAS-01301US1	5069
FLIESLER ME	7590 06/18/2007 ER MEYER LLP LIFORNIA STREET		EXAMINER - MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA	
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,613	KOVACS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Sajeda Muhebbullah	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority arrange of overtoning arrange	, (=, =, (-,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kemper et al. ("Kemper", US 6,804,682).

As per claim 1, Kemper teaches an interactive tool for manipulating at least one deployment descriptor, comprising:

a first user interface capable of rendering a hierarchical representation of the at least one deployment descriptor, wherein a component of the representation can be selected by a user (Fig.4, 471; col.10, lines 1-12);

a second user interface capable of rendering a user-editable representation of the selected component (Fig.4, 481; col.10, lines 24-33);

wherein the hierarchical representation of the at least one deployment descriptor includes a logical representation of application resources (Fig.4, 471); and

wherein the interactive tool is capable of automatically repairing a first deployment descriptor of the at least one deployment descriptors if the first deployment descriptor is defective (col.22, lines 23-24).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 2, Kemper teaches the interactive tool further comprising: a third user interface capable of rendering an error message (col.10, lines 13-18).

Page 3

As per claim 4, Kemper teaches the interactive tool further comprising:

a parser capable of generating a representation of the at least one deployment descriptor (col.13, lines 35-37);

a generator capable of creating the at least one deployment descriptor (col.13, lines 49-62); and

a validator capable of validating the at least one deployment descriptor (col.13, lines 38-41).

As per claim 5, Kemper teaches the interactive tool wherein the validator is capable of generating an error when it encounters a syntactic or semantic fault in the at least one deployment descriptor (col.10, lines 13-18).

As per claim 6, Kemper teaches the interactive tool further comprising: a builder component capable of automatically updating the at least one deployment descriptor to reflect one or more changes in at least one source code file (col.22, lines 23-24).

Claim 21 is similar in scope to claim 2, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 23-25 are similar in scope to claims 4-6 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2174

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3, 8-12, 14-18, 20, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemper et al. ("Kemper", US 6,804,682) in view of Chan et al. ("Chan", US 2003/0028364).

As per claim 3, Kemper teaches the interactive tool of claim 2 wherein an error message is rendered (Kemper, col. 10, lines 13-18). However, Kemper does not teach wherein user selection of the error message can cause the second user interface to render a user-editable representation of the at least one deployment descriptor component that is in error. Chan teaches an interactive tool for manipulating a file wherein an error message and the associated position of the error is displayed (Chan, para 0036). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Chan's teaching with Kemper's tool in order to locate the error quickly.

As per claim 8, Kemper teaches the interactive tool wherein the at least one deployment descriptor can be expressed as JAVA (Kemper, col.8, line 32). However, Kemper does not teach the deployment descriptor to be expressed as an Extensible Markup Language document. Chan teaches an interactive tool wherein the deployment descriptor can be expressed as an Extensible Markup Language document (Chan, para.002). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Chan's teaching with Kemper's tool in order to accommodate other types of files.

Claims 9, 15 and 22 are individually similar in scope to claim 3, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 10 and 16 are individually similar in scope to claim 4, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11 and 17 are individually similar in scope to claim 5, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 12 and 18 are individually similar in scope to claim 6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 14, 20 and 27 are individually similar in scope to claim 8, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

5. Claims 7 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemper et al. ("Kemper", US 6,804,682) in view of Timbol (US 6,237,135).

As per claim 7, Kemper teaches the interactive tool wherein the hierarchical representation can include information pertaining to JAVA (Kemper, col.8, line 32). However, Kemper does not explicitly teach the information to pertain to at least one of: a Java archive (JAR), a Web Archive (WAR), an Enterprise Archive (EAR), and a Java Connector Architecture Component (RAR). Timbol teaches an interactive tool for manipulating a file wherein the hierarchical representation can include information pertaining to a Java archive (Timbol, col.10, lines 24-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Timbol's teaching with Kemper's tool in order to accommodate other types of files.

Claim 26 is similar in scope to claim 7, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

6. Claims 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemper et al. ("Kemper", US 6,804,682) and Chan et al. ("Chan", US 2003/0028364) in view of Timbol (US 6,237,135).

As per claim 13, the interactive tool of Kemper and Chan teaches the interactive tool wherein the hierarchical representation can include information pertaining to JAVA (Kemper, col.8, line 32). However, the interactive tool of Kemper and Chan does not explicitly teach the information to pertain to at least one of: a Java archive (JAR), a Web Archive (WAR), an Enterprise Archive (EAR), and a Java Connector Architecture Component (RAR). Timbol teaches an interactive tool for manipulating a file wherein the hierarchical representation can include information pertaining to a Java archive (Timbol, col.10, lines 24-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Timbol's teaching with the interactive tool of Kemper and Chan in order to accommodate other types of files.

Claim 19 is similar in scope to claim 13, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Communications

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday and alt. Mondays from 8:30 am to

5:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The central fax number for the organization where correspondence for this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR: Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sajeda Muhebbullah

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2174

Wristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100